From: Jennifer Martin

Sent: Tuesday, February 10, 2004 12:33 PM

To: Dabbs, Paul Cc: Nick Di Croce

Subject: FW: Suggested Key Finding and Recommendation

Paul,

I think Nick's additions add a necessary balance to the findings and recommendations. As I said at the meeting, this section implies we have a more serious problem than I believe we can justify. This wording will help to remind readers that the plan is not all about building new supply infrastructure. Conservation and demand reduction are, as Jonas used to say, the things we agree on. It's appropriate to call them out.

Thanks for considering my input, Jennifer Martin

----Original Message-----

From: owner-b160ac3 On Behalf Of Guivetchi, Kamyar

Sent: Tuesday, February 3, 2004 5:11 PM

To: B160_proj_team

Subject: FW: Suggested Key Finding and Recommendation

AC and Project Team Members:

As an action item from last Friday's meeting, I am forwarding you the email below and attachment from Nick Di Croce with suggested changes to Key Finding #4 and Key Recommended Action #1.

If you have comments, please email them to Paul Dabbs (pdabbs@water.ca.gov).

Thank you.

Take Care.

Kamyar

Kamyar Guivetchi, P.E.

Manager, Statewide Water Planning Department of Water Resources 901 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Mail: P.O. Box 942836, Sacramento, CA 94236

Phone: (916) 653-3937 FAX: (916) 651-9289

Email: kamyarg@water.ca.gov
Web: www.waterplan.water.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----From: Nick Di Croce

Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2004 5:02 PM **To:** Guivetchi, Kamyar; Dabbs, Paul; Beutler, Lisa

Cc: Lloyd Fryer; Carolyn Yale; Arnold Whitridge; dhaasz ; Grant Davis; Greg Thomas; Rich Walkling; Fish4IFR ; Nick Di Croce; Jennifer Martin; Spreck Rosekrans; Peter Gleick; Michael Warburton; Mary Ann Dickinson; Jack Sullivan; Polly Osborne-Smith; Bob Wilkinson; Frances Spivy-Weber; Ann Hayden; Betsy Reifsnider

Subject: Suggested Key Finding and Recommendation

You may remember that at last week's meeting, I read from a document suggesting rewording of one of the Key Findings and Recommendations. Here is a copy of what I read from.

Based on the discussion that followed, I would point out to you that there is nothing in this wording that places this as a priority above other actions; it states that these actions will be increasingly more important, but does not try to prioritize. It only states that DWR and B160 recognize the increasing importance of these actions, which I think is appropriate and important to state in the Plan.

Please let me know if you can use these suggestions.

Nick Di Croce California Trout, Inc.

"Be well.....Do good work.....Stay in touch"from Garrison Keillor